

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Childhood maltreatment in subjects with male-to-female gender identity disorder

E Bandini^{1,9}, AD Fisher^{1,9}, V Ricca², J Ristori¹, MC Meriggiola³, EA Jannini⁴, C Manieri⁵, G Corona^{1,6}, M Monami⁷, E Fanni⁴, A Galleni⁸, G Forti¹, E Mannucci⁷ and M Maggi¹

¹Andrology Unit, Department of Clinical Physiopathology, University of Florence, Florence, Italy; ²Psychiatry Unit, Department of Neurological and Psychiatric Sciences, University of Florence, Florence, Italy; ³Center for Reproductive Health, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, S. Orsola Hospital, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy; ⁴Course of Endocrinology and Medical Sexology, Department of Experimental Medicine, University of L'Aquila, L'Aquila, Italy; ⁵S.C.D.U. Endocrinology and Metabolic Disease, University of Turin, Turin, Italy; ⁶Endocrinology Unit, Maggiore-Bellaria Hospital, Bologna, Italy; ⁷Diabetes Section, Geriatric Unit, Department of Critical Care, University of Florence, Florence, Italy and ⁸Department of Psychology, University of Florence, Florence, Italy

Childhood maltreatment (CM) is quite common and constitutes a nonspecific risk factor for a range of different psychiatric symptoms during lifespan. It has been demonstrated that sexual minorities are at higher risk of maltreatment and abuse, and a high proportion of transsexual subjects report CM. The aim of this study is to evaluate the prevalence of reported CM in a clinical sample of patients with male-to-female Gender Identity Disorder (MtF GID), and to explore the relationship between these early life events, body image and different psychopathological and clinical variables. A consecutive series of 162 patients with male genotype was evaluated from July 2008 to May 2010. A total of 109 subjects (mean age 36 ± 10 years) meeting the criteria for MtF GID and giving their informed consent were considered. The occurrence of CM experiences was evaluated through a face-to-face clinical interview. Patients were asked to complete the Body Uneasiness Test and Symptom Checklist-90 Revised. More than one-fourth of patients reported CM. Maltreated subjects reported a higher body dissatisfaction and display a worse lifetime mental health. The presence of reported CM in these patients has relevant psychopathological implications, and therefore should be carefully investigated.

International Journal of Impotence Research (2011) 23, 276–285; doi:10.1038/ijir.2011.39;
published online 11 August 2011

Keywords: epidemiology; psychological assessment of sexual dysfunction; psychotherapy

Introduction

Childhood maltreatment (CM) is quite common in communities,^{1–3} and there is a large consensus on its deleterious—but relatively nonspecific—consequence on adolescence and adulthood mental health.^{4–11} In fact, high rates of mental disorders have been documented consistently among individuals exposed to CM. In particular, several clinical and population studies, both retrospective and prospective, suggest that CM plays a role as a predisposing factor for the later onset of different

psychiatric symptoms and disorders, such as major depression, post-traumatic stress disorder, drug abuse, anxiety symptoms, dissociation, body dysmorphic and eating disorders, psychotic experiences and personality disorder.^{5,12–21} Moreover, several studies have focused on the negative impact of CM on body image, both in a nonclinical sample and in subjects with eating disorders.^{17,18,22}

It is often difficult to ascertain the meaningful link between CM and adult psychopathology. This is because of the frequent co-occurrence of other possible risk factors, such as other adverse life events or, conversely, of positive modifying factors (for example, success at school or in sport activities, supportive family environment). Moreover, shared genetic factors might predispose certain parents and their children toward apparently different, but actually related, manifestations of psychopathology.²³ Furthermore, these experiences are frequently assessed on the basis of subjective recall on the part of the victims, which can be exaggerated,

Correspondence: Professor M Maggi, Department of Clinical Physiopathology, Andrology Unit, Viale Pieraccini 6, Florence 50139, Italy.

E-mail: m.maggi@dfc.unifi.it

⁹These authors equally contributed to this work.

Received 26 January 2011; revised 27 June 2011; accepted 6 July 2011; published online 11 August 2011

underreported or even falsely reported.¹³ Finally, the mechanism through which these experiences favor the onset of psychopathology is difficult to ascertain.

Gender Identity Disorder (GID) is characterized by a strong and persistent identification with the opposite sex, discomfort with one's own sex and a sense of inappropriateness in the gender role of that sex.²⁴ Few studies reported that GID subjects are more dissatisfied with their body than subjects unaffected by GID, even with regard to nonsexual body parts and aspects.^{25,26} As far as psychopathology is concerned, the results are mixed: whereas some studies have reported higher prevalence of Axis I and II Disorders,^{27,28} others found no differences in the level of psychopathology between GID subjects and controls.^{29–32} Only one study has focused on the prevalence of CM in male-to-female (MtF) GID subjects, reporting a higher prevalence of emotional abuse and neglect in comparison with psychiatric inpatients.³³ Accordingly, a previous research performed on a sample of self-defined female-to-male transsexuals found a 60% prevalence of severe child abuse.³⁴ Devor³⁴ speculated that transsexualism may be an adaptive extreme dissociative survival response to severe child abuse. On the other hand, a previous research suggested that childhood individual differences, possibly including gender atypicality, may be a causal factor of maltreatment.³⁵ Moreover, it has been demonstrated that sexual minorities are at a higher risk of maltreatment and abuse.³⁵ In particular, children with a nonconforming gender behavior may suffer reprisals from parents, as a consequence of their cross-gender behavior. Furthermore, Nuttbrock *et al.*³⁶ have reported that gender-related abuse is a major mental health problem among MtF transgender subjects.

Beyond speculations regarding the etiological issue, our hypothesis is that CM may modify the presentation of GID, in particular regarding associated psychopathology and body dissatisfaction.

Aims

The aims of our study are:

- to evaluate the prevalence of reported CM in a clinical sample of patients with MtF GID;
- to explore the relationships between CM, body image and different psychopathological and clinical variables.

Materials and methods

A consecutive series of 162 patients with a male genotype was evaluated for gender dysphoria in the Interdepartmental Center for Assistance to Gender Identity Disorder of Florence (CIADIG, Sexual Medicine and Andrology Unit of Florence) and in

other dedicated centers in Florence from July 2008 to May 2010. Only the subjects meeting the criteria for MtF GID²⁴ and giving their informed consent ($n = 109$) were considered. Among the 109 subjects evaluated in the study, 52 patients were from Florence and 26, 23 and 8 from Turin, Bologna and L'Aquila, respectively. All patients provided their written informed consent. The relatively high number of subjects without a GID diagnosis is most likely depending on the fact that Italian centers could receive the referrals directly from general practitioners, without any kind of previous screening from local psychiatric services.

When comparing the 109 participants with GID diagnosis with the 53 without diagnosis, no significant differences in terms of sociodemographic (age, marital status and education level) and clinical variables (puberty onset, associated morbidities, smoking status, current drug use and current and previous substance abuse) were observed (data not shown).

Diagnosis was based on formal psychiatric classification criteria^{24,37} and was performed through a face-to-face interview. All the data provided were collected as part of the clinical and psycho-diagnostic routine procedure. All subjects underwent karyotype analysis, as part of the centers' routine procedure, and all showed a 46XY genotype. The occurrence of CM was evaluated through a face-to-face clinical interview (see Appendix), assessing childhood interpersonal maltreatment in three domains: emotional abuse and/or neglect, physical abuse and/or neglect and sexual abuse. Consenting sexual experiences with peers were not included. CM was defined as a specific harmful action toward a child by adults or older children, or the omission of care that an adult has the responsibility to provide.³⁸ General harmful conditions, such as environmental conditions, are not included. When CM was reported, questions concerning the frequency of these experiences and the perpetrators (for sexual abuse only) involved were evaluated. Because of the relatively small sample size, when the differences between maltreated and nonmaltreated groups were analyzed, reported CM was considered as a dummy (yes/no) variable, irrespective of the kind of maltreatment.

Moreover, in order to explore significant medical history and clinical features, several specific standard questions were used, codifying the answers as a dummy variable (no/yes, 0/1), as follows:

- During childhood, having had a father with a punitive attitude: 'During childhood what was your father's attitude towards you?', rating 0 = warm, detached; 1 = punitive;
- Parents' preference for a daughter: 'In your opinion, do you think that your birth was desired?', rating 0 = yes/no, because my parents had economical difficulties/no, because my

- parents already had a lot of children, 1 = no, because my parents wanted a girl;
- Conflicts within the family during childhood: 'During childhood, were there conflicts within your family?', rating 0 = no, 1 = yes;
 - Need of psychiatric consultation during childhood: 'During childhood, did you ever need a psychiatric consultation?', rating 0 = no, 1 = yes;
 - Cross-gender behavior during childhood: 'During your childhood, which were your favorite games and playmates and how did you want to dress?', rating 0 = not exclusive preference for female games and playmates or female clothes, 1 = exclusive preference for female games and playmates and for female clothes;
 - Negative relationship with boys, during childhood: 'During your childhood, how was your relationship with boys?', rating 0 = positive or neutral, 1 = negative;
 - Attraction toward females during childhood: 'During your childhood, who was the first person you felt attracted to?', rating 0 = a male or none, 1 = a female;
 - Homosexual brothers or sisters: 'Do you have homosexual brothers/sisters?', rating 0 = no, 1 = yes;
 - Maltreatment in adolescence: 'During adolescence, were you ever a victim of maltreatment or violence?', rating 0 = no, 1 = yes;
 - Neutral or negative relationship with boys, during adolescence: 'During your adolescence, how was your relationship with boys?', rating 0 = positive, 1 = neutral or negative;
 - Psychiatric disorders, lifetime: 'Have you ever suffered from psychiatric disorders?', rating 0 = no, 1 = yes;
 - Relatives' psychiatric disorders: 'Have your relatives ever suffered from psychiatric disorders?', rating 0 = no, 1 = yes;
 - Significant romantic relationships, lifetime: 'Have you ever had a significant romantic relationship in your life?', rating 0 = no, 1 = yes;
 - Daily prostitution (before sex reassignment surgery (SRS)): 'Have you ever prostituted yourself daily?', rating 0 = no, 1 = yes;
 - Sexual encounters with females, lifetime: 'Have you ever had a sexual encounter with females?', rating 0 = no, 1 = yes;
 - Exclusive sexual attraction towards males: 'During your life, to whom are/were you sexually attracted?', rating 0 = not exclusively males or not males, 1 = males;
 - Exclusive sexual attraction towards females: 'During your life, to whom are/were you sexually attracted?', rating 0 = not exclusively females or not females, 1 = females;
 - Sexual attraction towards both males and females: 'During your life, to whom are/were you sexually attracted?', rating 0 = exclusively males or exclusively females, 1 = both males and females;

- Extreme gender dysphoria, before any reassignment treatment: 'Do/did you have deep disgust in looking at yourself in the mirror, do/did you feel uncomfortable if your partner, during a sexual encounter, sees/saw you naked or caresses/carressed you, and do/did you try to hide your sexual features?', rating 0 = never or sometimes, 1 = always.

Childhood was considered as the period of life between birth and 11 years, and adolescence as the period between 12 and 19 years. Social class was assessed through information about educational level and employment status. In particular, social class was considered low if the educational level was lower than 8 years and the subject was unemployed.

A total of 95 patients, enrolled after November 2008, were also asked to complete the Body Uneasiness Test (BUT³⁹) and the Symptom Checklist-90 Revised (SCL-90-R⁴⁰). Those who completed the questionnaires did not differ significantly from the rest of the sample in any clinical (including puberty onset, associated morbidities, smoking status, current drug use, current and previous substance abuse or hormonal treatment therapy) or sociodemographic parameters (including age, marital status and education level; data not shown).

The BUT is a self-rating scale exploring different areas of body-related psychopathology, including dissatisfaction with the body and its weight (weight phobia); avoidance; compulsive control behavior (compulsive self-monitoring); experience of separation and strangeness from the body (depersonalization); and specific worries for certain body parts, characteristics or functions. Subjects were asked to rate 34 different body image experiences (BUT A) and 37 body parts (BUT B) on a 6-point Likert-type scale (from 1 = never to 6 = always), indicating how often they happen to dislike each experience or each body part. Higher scores indicate greater body uneasiness. BUT scores were analyzed by considering the total score of the test (Global Severity Index), the number of body parts disliked (positive symptoms total) and the mean intensity of dislike of all disliked body parts (Positive Symptom Distress Index).⁴¹ The internal consistency of BUT is satisfactory in terms of both the significant homogeneity (indicated by the Item-Total Correlations) and the clearly one-dimensional structure shown by each of the subscales according to the analyses of the main components. The levels of Cronbach's α coefficients range between 0.69 and 0.90. The test-retest reliability is satisfactory, as well as the correlation coefficients are >0.7 .³⁹

The SCL-90-R is a measure of the psychological state using question items that ask, on a 5-point scale, how much a certain problem has bothered the subject over the past 7 days, allowing nine primary symptom scales and three global indices of distress

to be derived. In particular, the nine primary symptom scales are: somatization, obsessive-compulsive, interpersonal sensitivity (feelings of personal inadequacy and inferiority), depression, anxiety, hostility, phobic anxiety, paranoid ideation and psychoticism.⁴⁰ The internal consistencies (coefficient α) concerning the nine primary symptom scales range from a low of 0.77 for psychoticism to a high of 0.90 for depression.⁴² Test-retest reliability has been reported at 0.80 to 0.90 with a time interval of 1 week.⁴⁰ Evidence for the validity of the SCL-90-R was put forward by Derogatis.⁴⁰

Moreover, patients were asked to specify any current pharmacological treatment. In particular, among psychoactive medications, we considered: benzodiazepines, selective serotonergic reuptake inhibitor antidepressants, serotonergic-noradrenergic reuptake inhibitor antidepressants, benzamides and antipsychotic agents, and mood stabilizers.

Statistical analysis

Data are expressed as median (quartiles) when non-normally distributed, as mean \pm s.d. when normally distributed and as percentage when categorical. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to test the parameter distribution. Correlations were assessed using Pearson's or Spearman's method for normally or non-normally distributed data, respectively. In addition, unpaired two-sided Student's *t*-test was used for comparison of means of normally distributed parameters. In all other cases, Mann-Whitney *U*-test was used for comparisons between groups. Logistic regression and multiple linear regression were used for multivariate analysis, whenever appropriate. All statistical analyses were performed on SPSS 17 for Windows (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Frequency of CM

The mean age of the subjects included in the study was 36 ± 10 years. Out of 109 subjects, 30 (27.5%) reported CM experiences, often repeated (57.7%, $n = 17$), represented by sexual abuse in half of the cases ($n = 15$), by physical abuse and/or neglect in 23.3% of the case ($n = 7$) and by emotional abuse and/or neglect in 26.7% of the cases ($n = 8$).

Considering subjects reporting sexual abuse ($n = 15$), 2 subjects (13.3%) reported that the perpetrator was an unknown person, 9 subjects (60%) reported a family friend, 3 subjects (20%) reported a family member and 1 subject (6.7%) reported a parent.

The sociodemographic features, medical history characteristics and clinical features of the sample, according to reported or not reported CM, are shown in Table 1.

Differences in medical history and clinical parameters between subjects with and without CM

When compared with subjects who did not report CM, subjects who reported CM were older (34.9 ± 9.8 and 40.5 ± 9.8 , respectively; $P < 0.01$), and more often treated with mood stabilizers ($P < 0.05$). No differences between the two groups were found in other sociodemographic and clinical variables, such as gender dysphoria level (extreme/not extreme), cross-gender behavior during childhood, sexual preference (assessed by means of a specific question), hormonal and surgical treatment and social class, even after adjustment for age and current psychotropic drugs (see Table 1). Data were adjusted for these control variables because the two subsamples differ in these categories. Conversely, we observed a significant difference in several medical history and clinical parameters, even after adjustment for the aforementioned factors (see Table 2). In particular, about childhood, maltreated subjects more often reported having had a father with a punitive attitude, parents' preference for a daughter, conflicts within the family, the need for psychiatric consultation, a negative relationship with boys and an attraction towards females. Considering adolescence, they reported more often having been victims of maltreatment, and having had a neutral or negative relationship with boys. Regarding familiar factors, subjects with CM reported more often having or having had a relative with a psychiatric disorder, and having homosexual brothers/sisters. Furthermore, they reported more frequently the occurrence of a sexual encounter with females, the absence of any significant romantic relationship during lifetime, and lifetime daily prostitution (before SRS). Finally, they reported a higher prevalence of psychiatric disorders.

Differences in psychometric tests between subjects with and without CM

Differences between the two groups in the psychometric test scores, after adjustment for age, current psychotropic drugs, current hormonal therapy and SRS, are reported in Table 3. Subjects were analyzed at any time of transitional stage. We are aware that transitional stage influences body image perception and satisfaction. Moreover, the presence and the level of psychopathological symptoms may be related to transitional stage. In order to control the effects of transitional stage on these variables, we have adjusted not only for age and psychotropic drugs, but also for current hormonal therapy and SRS. After adjustment, we observed that subjects reporting CM scored significantly higher on the following BUT items: Global Severity Index, specific worries for certain body parts, characteristics, or functions, avoidance, compulsive control behavior, depersonalization, and positive symptoms total.

Table 1 Sociodemographic features, medical history characteristics and clinical features of the total sample and divided according to reported or not reported childhood maltreatment, as derived from patient history

	All N = 109	No childhood maltreatment N = 79	Childhood maltreatment N = 30
<i>Marital status, % (number)</i>			
Unmarried	86.2 (94)	87.3 (69)	83.3 (25)
Married	5.5 (6)	5.1 (4)	6.7 (2)
Widowed	0.9 (1)	0 (0)	3.3 (1)
Divorced	7.3 (8)	7.6 (6)	6.7 (2)
Current stable relationship, % (number)	36.7 (40)	36.7 (29)	36.7 (11)
<i>Education, % (number)</i>			
None/primary school	33.9 (37)	31.6 (25)	40 (12)
Secondary school	47.7 (52)	49.4 (39)	43.3 (13)
University	18.3 (20)	19 (15)	16.7 (5)
<i>Employment, % (number)</i>			
Student	4.6 (5)	6.3 (5)	0 (0)
Retired	5.5 (6)	2.5 (2)	13.3 (4)
Employed	60.5 (66)	59.5 (47)	63.3 (19)
Unemployed	29.4 (32)	31.6 (25)	23.3 (7)
Low social level, % (number)	10.1(11)	12.7 (10)	3.3 (1)
Maltreatment during adolescence	23.8 (26)	13.9 (11)	50 (15)
Current psychiatric drug use, % (number)	13.8 (15)	11.4 (9)	20 (6)
<i>Hormonal therapy, % (number)</i>			
Current	70.6 (77)	68.3 (54)	76.7 (23)
In the past	15.6 (17)	16.5 (13)	13.3 (4)
Never	13.8 (15)	15.2 (12)	10 (3)
<i>Factor related to transition stage</i>			
SRS performed	25.7 (28)	21.5 (17)	36.7 (11)
SRS not performed	74.3 (81)	78.5 (62)	63.3 (19)
Exclusive attraction toward males	85.2 (92)	88.3 (69)	76.7 (23)
Exclusive attraction toward females	0.9 (1)	1.3 (1)	0 (0)
Attraction toward both males and females	11.1 (12)	7.8 (6)	20 (6)
Attraction toward neither males nor females	2.8 (4)	2.6 (3)	3.3 (1)
Cross-gender behavior during childhood	53.2 (58)	49.4 (39)	63.3 (19)
Extreme gender dysphoria	61.5 (67)	58.2 (46)	70 (21)

Abbreviation: SRS, sex reassignment surgery.

Data are expressed as percentages (the absolute number of subjects is reported in brackets).

Moreover, they scored higher on the SCL-90-R obsessive-compulsive scale ($P < 0.05$).

When the same statistical process was applied for every different kind of CM, no associations retained statistical significance (data not shown).

Discussion

This study explores the relationship between reported CM and the psychopathological features of subjects seeking medical care for GID.

We found that any reported CM identifies subjects with a higher level of body image dissatisfaction, worse psychosocial adjustment during childhood and worse lifetime mental health, even in a sample of GID subjects.

In particular, we observed that maltreated subjects reported a higher body dissatisfaction, as shown by higher scores on BUT general parameters. It has

been hypothesized that abusive experiences may result in body dissatisfaction, intense feelings of body shame and body image distortion.⁴³ Moreover, we observed that subjects reporting CM have a higher body compulsive self-monitoring and worry about certain body parts, and higher avoidance. Interestingly, they also report a higher BUT depersonalization score, which describes the experience of separation and strangeness from the body. This is not surprising, as a growing amount of data report the association between CM and adult dissociative psychopathology.^{16,44–49} It has been suggested that the development of dissociation, reflecting severe deficits in the integration of the self, can be an outcome of CM,⁵⁰ and severity and chronicity of maltreatment have been found to predict future levels of dissociation.⁵¹ In particular, a meta-analysis, evaluating 26 studies with 2108 subjects involved, reports a positive association between CM and dissociation regardless of the type of abuse.⁵² On the other hand, the association between

Table 2 Differences in clinical parameters between subjects who reported or did not report childhood maltreatment

Clinical parameters	No childhood maltreatment (n = 79)	Childhood maltreatment (n = 30)	P-value	HR, 95% CI [§]	P-value [§]
<i>Familiar factors (%)</i>					
Relatives' psychiatric disorders	18.2	45.8	0.021	1.05 (1.01–1.10)	0.019
Homosexual brothers/sisters	3.9	20	0.007	5.30 (1.15–24.48)	0.033
<i>Childhood factors (%)</i>					
Punitive father	6.5	30	0.001	7.13 (2.02–25.18)	0.002
Parents preference for a daughter	2.6	13.3	0.03	6.29 (1.02–38.59)	0.047
Conflicts within the family	24.4	50	0.01	3.30 (1.28–8.52)	0.014
Need for psychiatric consultation	29.5	53.3	0.021	3.18 (1.23–8.21)	0.017
Negative relationship with boys	17.9	36.7	0.039	3.15 (1.11–8.95)	0.031
Attraction toward females	14.5	33.3	0.028	3.45 (1.18–10.11)	0.024
<i>Adolescence factors (%)</i>					
Maltreatment	13.9	50	0.000	7.07 (2.49–20.13)	0.000
Neutral or negative relationship with boys	41	70	0.007	4.09 (1.51–11.03)	0.005
<i>Lifetime factors (%)</i>					
Psychiatric disorders, lifetime	37.2	66.7	0.006	3.39 (1.25–9.22)	0.017
Sexual intercourse with female, lifetime	18.9	46.7	0.004	3.15 (1.14–8.67)	0.026
Significant romantic relationships, lifetime	83.3	63.3	0.025	0.35 (0.13–0.99)	0.048
Daily prostitution, lifetime (pre-SRS)	14.1	34.5	0.018	3.00 (1.06–8.50)	0.038

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; HR, hazards ratio; SRS, sex reassignment surgery. Data are expressed as percentage and [§]logistic regression analysis after adjustment for age and current psychotropic drugs. The bold *P*-values indicate significance.

Table 3 Differences in body uneasiness test and symptoms checklist-90 revised score between subjects who reported and did not report childhood maltreatment

Body Uneasiness Test	No childhood maltreatment	Childhood maltreatment	P-value	HR, 95% CI [§]	P-value [§]
Global severity index	1.29 (0.73–2.39)	1.88 (0.94–3.29)	0.09	1.97 (1.17–3.30)	0.010
Weight phobia	1.75 (1.12–2.75)	2.06 (1.40–3.16)	0.29	1.50 (0.92–2.41)	0.100
Body image concerns	1.72 (0.64–3.03)	2.40 (1.05–3.64)	0.06	1.64 (1.08–2.50)	0.020
Avoidance	0.58 (0.00–1.87)	1.67 (0.33–2.50)	0.07	1.58 (1.05–2.38)	0.030
Compulsive self-monitoring	1.17 (0.67–2.17)	1.33 (0.66–2.62)	0.51	1.66 (1.02–2.68)	0.040
Depersonalization	0.80 (0.00–2.25)	1.80 (0.10–3.20)	0.08	2.01 (1.24–3.25)	0.004
Positive symptoms total	12 (7–20)	19 (11–27)	0.03	1.08 (1.02–1.14)	0.007
Positive symptom distress index	2.82 (2.25–3.50)	3.04 (1.97–3.42)	0.99	0.94 (0.55–1.59)	0.810
<i>Symptoms Checklist-90 Revised</i>					
General symptomatic index	0.47 (0.22–0.76)	0.63 (0.36–1.26)	0.15	1.98 (0.89–4.41)	0.090
Positive symptom total	30 (17.25–46)	31.5 (17.75–63.75)	0.27	1.02 (0.99–1.04)	0.150
Positive symptom distress index	1.36 (1.10–1.78)	1.55 (1.25–2.14)	0.07	2.14 (0.91–5.02)	0.080

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; HR, hazards ratio; SRS, sex reassignment surgery. Data are expressed as median (quartiles) and [§]logistic regression analysis after adjustment for age, current psychotropic drugs, current hormonal therapy and SRS. The bold *P*-values indicate significance.

CM and body-related concerns could also be related to a greater neuroticism, which makes these subjects more likely to describe both their childhood experiences and their body issues in negative terms.⁵³ If we focus on the relationship between CM and gender dysphoria, CM may have a causal role on the pathogenesis of gender dysphoria; however, the opposite has also been considered.^{34,35,54} In particular, it has been suggested that more gender-variant children are more likely to be maltreated.³⁵ In fact, different researches have demonstrated that bi- or

homo-sexual adults are more likely than heterosexuals to report a childhood history of parental and peer maltreatment.⁵⁵ Moreover, it has been reported that gender-related abuse is a major mental health problem among MtF transgender.³⁶ Regardless of this evidence, we did not find any association between CM and gender dysphoria onset or sexual preference, probably because the gender-related stigmatization, often already present during childhood, may became even stronger during adolescence. Despite the lack of association between the

kind of self-reported sexual preference and CM, subjects with CM more often reported an attraction toward females during childhood and reported having had sexual encounters with females in their lifetime. This could indicate that our measure of sexual preference was not accurate enough and did not take into account all the components of the sexual preference dimension. Moreover, as recently stated by de Vries *et al.*,⁵⁶ in GID subjects 'sexual orientation may be obscured by gender dysphoric feeling'. It is also important to note that in our sample, no differences in gender dysphoria level (extreme/not extreme) were found. In fact, given the impact of CM on body image, its influence on gender dysphoria level could have been expected, but this is not the case. However, the cross-sectional nature of our study does not allow us to investigate a causal relationship between CM and GID, if any.

Concerning the psychosocial variables, differences found between subjects reporting and not reporting CM are not surprising, as a growing amount of literature underlines that CM can affect child and adult functioning.^{4,10} In particular, we found a higher rate of need for psychiatric care in childhood and a higher level of lifetime psychiatric disorders, as previously observed.^{5,9,13,57} These data may be important in studying the occurrence of psychopathology in GID subjects. In fact, the presence of CM in GID samples is quite frequent and may in part explain the nonhomogeneity of results regarding this topic, and should therefore be taken into account in future research. Furthermore, subjects with CM more often reported conflicts within the family during childhood and described their father as having a more punitive attitude. A poor relationship with the respondent's parent is also associated with adult psychopathology,⁵⁸ and could represent *per se* a form of emotional maltreatment.⁵⁹ Moreover, it has been demonstrated that maladaptive family functioning is a strong prediction of psychological maladjustment (stronger than other childhood adversities).⁵⁷ Furthermore, children exposed to interparental violence have a higher risk of mental health disorder in adulthood than those not exposed.⁶⁰ In our sample, subjects with CM reported the preference of parents for a daughter. Parental influences, such as mother's preference for a daughter, has been hypothesized to be important in the etiology of GID,⁶¹ through reinforcing cross-gender behavior. On the other hand, it has been speculated that this wish may also be induced by child-related factors, especially in unstable and vulnerable parents.⁶² These could explain the positive association, in our sample, between CM and the preference of parents for a daughter.

As far as adolescence is concerned, we found an association between childhood and adolescence maltreatment. This association might be considered

in light of the difficulties for a child who experienced a maltreatment to become capable of self-protection.⁶³ On the other hand, it may be speculated that the same kind of maltreatment, perpetrated often by a member of the family, could have been perpetrated during adolescence. Furthermore, in our sample, subjects who more often reported CM showed a higher rate of psychiatric disorders. These data are in agreement with several investigators reporting higher rates of anxiety disorders, depression, suicide ideation and attempts and self-harm behaviors among young adults and adults with childhood history of maltreatments.^{57,64,65} Considering social functioning, subjects with CM reported a worse relationship with male peers during childhood and adolescence. These results seem to confirm previous studies, reporting an association between CM and a poor social functioning in early and middle childhood. In particular, children exposed to maltreatment often avoid or withdraw from social interaction and are less popular with peers.^{66,67} However, these data should be considered in light of the special population studied: recently Wallien *et al.*⁶⁸ empirically demonstrated, in a sample of gender dysphoric children and their classmates, that gender dysphoric children do not have more interpersonal difficulties, but just less same-sex and more opposite-sex friends. Therefore, beyond the GID-related preference for opposite-sex friends, CM affects the capacity to establish satisfying relationships with peers.

Dealing with adulthood, subjects with CM reported a lower occurrence of significant romantic relationship, confirming that CM is negatively associated with the ability to establish and maintain healthy intimate relationships in adulthood.⁶⁷ Moreover, subjects with CM reported more frequently daily prostitution (before SRS), suggesting possible serious difficulties in integrating at work.

Our study has several limitations. First of all, all the information regarding CM is based on retrospective recall and the measurement of maltreatment is based on subjective experience.⁶⁹ As sensitivity to maltreatment may differ widely among individuals, this factor could represent a bias. Moreover, in retrospective reports, there is always a risk of memory biases. Current mood state and current psychopathology, different cultural background and 'search for meaning' (by which the subjects tend to search the reasons for the present distress in their past experiences) have all been reported to possibly affect the accurate retrieval of past events.^{38,69,70} Furthermore, retrospective studies obtaining data about CM from adults are likely to underestimate the maltreatment because of forgetting. Therefore, it may be possible that we have undercaptured the extent of CM in the sample. Moreover, it is likely that, depending on the criteria of access to treatment in a specific clinical facility, attenders could adjust their biographical data.⁷¹

However, some evidence of the reliability of retrospectively reported maltreatment has also been presented.^{72,73} In fact, it should be taken into account that clinical definitions of maltreatment are more likely to give weight to the victim's perception of the incident in defining an act as maltreatment and, from a clinical perspective, the meaning and interpretation an individual gives about an experience are to be considered highly relevant in determining whether it constitutes maltreatment.⁷⁴ The second major limitation is regarding the difference in the qualitative nature of maltreatment items, which might have influenced our results in a critical way. In fact, because we considered just the presence or absence of CM, the group reporting CM is composed of subjects with different kinds and severity degrees of maltreatment. However, some clinicians think that the categories of emotional, physical and sexual abuse are not discrete at all, because many forms of abuse overlap.³⁸

Another pitfall of our study is that cross-sectional observations do not allow investigations of causal relationships between CM and GID.

Moreover, having a control group (that is, no-GID sample) would have helped in reaching more interesting results and conclusions.

Some more limitations in the methods should be mentioned:

- Neither the kind of maltreatment nor the perpetrator gender were specified;
- Data concerning gender dysphoria have not been explored with specific instruments, considering all its different dimensions;⁷⁵
- We did not have access to socioeconomical-level data of the sample, which has been demonstrated to affect the occurrence of CM. However, the educational level and employment status was not associated with CM.

Finally, the sample was not representative of all MtF GID patients, because an unknown proportion of these subjects do not undergo professional assessment. Nevertheless, it can be regarded as representative of GID patients seeking professional treatment in accordance with the Standards of Care of the Harry Benjamin Gender Dysphoria Association.³⁷

Conclusions

Our results suggest that the history of CM is associated with body image dissatisfaction, worse psychosocial adjustment during childhood and worse lifetime mental health in subjects with MtF GID. Therefore, this study extends to patients with GID the meaningful association between CM and different adverse adult mental outcomes, already observed in other groups of patients. The presence of reported CM in these patients has relevant

psychopathological implications, and consequently should be carefully investigated. In addition, approaching thoroughly the issue of CM could enable the patients to reflect about its impact on their lives and, eventually, its relevance on treatment decision.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

We thank Silvia Cerpolini, and Antonietta Costantino, (University of Bologna); Anna Gualerzi and Cinzia Catalani (University of Turin); and Silvia Di Tommaso (University of L'Aquila).

References

- 1 Gilbert R, Spatz Widom C, Browne K, Fergusson D, Webb E, Janson S. Burden and consequences of child maltreatment in high-income countries. *Lancet* 2009; **373**: 68–81.
- 2 Moore EE, Romaniuk H, Olsson CA, Jayasinghe Y, Carlin JB, Patton GC. The prevalence of childhood sexual abuse and adolescent unwanted sexual contact among boys and girls living in Victoria, Australia. *Child Abuse Negl* 2010; **34**: 379–385.
- 3 Turner HA, Finkelhor D, Ormrod R, Hamby SL. Infant victimization in a nationally representative sample. *Pediatrics* 2010; **126**: 44–52.
- 4 Shaffer A, Yates TM, Egeland BR. The relation of emotional maltreatment to early adolescent competence: developmental processes in a prospective study. *Child Abuse Negl* 2009; **33**: 36–44.
- 5 Perepletchikova F, Kaufman J. Emotional and behavioural sequelae of childhood maltreatment. *Cur Opin Pediatr* 2010; **22**: 610–615.
- 6 Jonas S, Bebbington P, McManus S, Meltzer H, Jenkins R, Kuipers E et al. Sexual abuse and psychiatric disorder in England: results from the 2007 Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey. *Psychol Med* 2010; **10**: 1–11.
- 7 Glaser D. Child abuse and neglect and the brain—a review. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 2000; **41**: 97–116.
- 8 Teicher MH, Andersen SL, Polcari A, Anderson CM, Navalta CP, Kim DM. The neurobiological consequences of early stress and childhood maltreatment. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2003; **27**: 33–44.
- 9 Horwitz AV, Widom CS, McLaughlin J, White HR. The impact of childhood abuse and neglect on adult mental health: a prospective study. *J Health Soc Behav* 2001; **42**: 184–201.
- 10 Cicchetti D, Toth SL. Developmental processes in maltreated children. *Nebr Symp Motiv* 2000; **46**: 85–160.
- 11 Teicher MH, Samson JA, Polcari A, McGreenery CE. Sticks, stones, and hurtful words: relative effects of various forms of childhood maltreatment. *Am J Psychiatry* 2006; **163**: 993–1000.
- 12 Bradley RG, Binder EB, Epstein MP, Tang Y, Nair HP, Liu W et al. Influence of child abuse on adult depression: moderation by the corticotropin-releasing hormone receptor gene. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2008; **65**: 190–200.
- 13 Zlotnick C, Johnson J, Kohn R, Vicente B, Rioseco P, Saldivia S. Childhood trauma, trauma in adulthood, and

- psychiatric diagnoses: results from a community sample. *Compr Psychiatry* 2008; **49**: 163–169.
- 14 Collishaw S, Pickles A, Messer J, Rutter M, Shearer C, Maughan B. Resilience to adult psychopathology following childhood maltreatment: evidence from a community sample. *Child Abuse Negl* 2007; **31**: 211–229.
 - 15 Janssen I, Krabbendam L, Bak M, Hanssen M, Vollebergh W, de Graaf R et al. Childhood abuse as a risk factor for psychotic experiences. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2004; **109**: 38–45.
 - 16 Dutra L, Bureau JF, Holmes B, Lyubchik A, Lyons-Ruth K. Quality of early care and childhood trauma: a prospective study of developmental pathways to dissociation. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 2009; **197**: 383–390.
 - 17 Didie ER, Tortolani CC, Pope CG, Menard W, Fay C, Phillips KA. Childhood abuse and neglect in body dysmorphic disorder. *Child Abuse Negl* 2006; **30**: 1105–1115.
 - 18 Wonderlich SA, Crosby RD, Mitchell JE, Thompson KM, Redlin J, Demuth G et al. Eating disturbance and sexual trauma in childhood and adulthood. *Int J Eat Disord* 2001; **30**: 401–412.
 - 19 Kendler KS, Bulik CM, Silberg J, Hettema JM, Myers J, Prescott CA. Childhood sexual abuse and adult psychiatric and substance use disorders in women: an epidemiological and cotwin control analysis. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2000; **57**: 953–959.
 - 20 Widom CS. Posttraumatic stress disorder in abused and neglected children grown up. *Am J Psychiatry* 1999; **156**: 1223–1229.
 - 21 Tyrka AR, Wyche MC, Kelly MM, Price LH, Carpenter LL. Maltreatment and adult personality disorder symptoms: influence of maltreatment type. *Psychiatry Res* 2009; **165**: 281–287.
 - 22 Holmberg LI, Hellberg D. Sexually abused children characterization of these girls when adolescents. *Int J Adolesc Med Health* 2010; **22**: 291–300.
 - 23 McCrory, De Brito, Viding E. Research review: the neurobiology and genetics of maltreatment and adversity. *J Child Psychol Psychiatr* 2010; **51**: 1079–1095.
 - 24 American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, 4th edn, Text revision ed. American Psychiatric Association: Washington, DC, 2000.
 - 25 Pauly LB, Lindgren TW. Body image and gender identity. *J Homosex* 1976/77; **2**: 133–134.
 - 26 Vocks S, Stahn C, Loenser K, Legenbauer T. Eating and body image disturbances in male-to-female and female-to-male transsexuals. *Arch Sex Behav* 2009; **38**: 364–377.
 - 27 Hepp U, Kraemer B, Schnyder U, Miller N, Delsignore A. Psychiatric comorbidity in gender identity disorder. *J Psychosom Res* 2005; **58**: 259–261.
 - 28 Bodlund O, Kullgren G, Sundbom E, Höjerback T. Personality traits and disorders among transsexuals. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1993; **88**: 322–327.
 - 29 Hoshiai M, Matsumoto Y, Sato T, Ohnishi M, Okabe N, Kishimoto Y et al. Psychiatric comorbidity among patients with gender identity disorder. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2010; **64**: 514–519.
 - 30 Gómez-Gil E, Trilla A, Salamero M, Godás T, Valdés M. Sociodemographic, clinical, and psychiatric characteristics of transsexuals from Spain. *Arch Sex Behav* 2009; **38**: 378–392.
 - 31 Haraldsen IR, Dahl AA. Symptom profiles of gender dysphoric patients of transsexual type compared to patients with personality disorders and healthy adults. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2000; **102**: 276–281.
 - 32 Simon L, Zsolt U, Fogd D, Czobor P. Dysfunctional core beliefs, perceived parenting behavior and psychopathology in gender identity disorder: a comparison of male-to-female, female-to-male transsexual and nontranssexual control subjects. *J Behav Ther Exp Psychiatry* 2011; **42**: 38–45.
 - 33 Kersting A, Reutemann M, Gast U, Ohrmann P, Suslow T, Michael N et al. Dissociative disorders and traumatic childhood experiences in transsexuals. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 2003; **191**: 182–189.
 - 34 Devor H. Transsexualism, dissociation, and child abuse: an initial discussion based on non-clinical data. *J Psychol Hum Sex* 1994; **6**: 49–72.
 - 35 Corliss HL, Cochran SD, Mays VM. Reports of parental maltreatment during childhood in a United States population-based survey of homosexual, bisexual and heterosexual adults. *Child Abuse Negl* 2002; **26**: 1165–1178.
 - 36 Nuttbrock L, Hwahng S, Bockting W, Rosenblum A, Mason M, Macri M et al. Psychiatric impact of gender-related abuse across the life course of male-to-female transgender persons. *J Sex Res* 2010; **47**: 12–23.
 - 37 Meyer W, Bockting WO, Cohen-Kettenis P, Coleman E, Di Ceglie D, Devor H et al. The Standards of Care of the Harry Benjamin International Gender Dysphoria Association, 6th Version. *J Psychol Hum Sex* 2002; **13**: 1–30.
 - 38 Cawson P, Wattam C, Brooker S, Graham K. *Child Maltreatment in the United Kingdom: A Study of the Prevalence of Abuse and Neglect*. NSPCC Research Findings, November 2010; online publication: www.nspcc.org.uk/inform.
 - 39 Cuzzolaro M, Vetrone G, Marano G, Battacchi MW. BUT, Body Uneasiness Test: a new attitudinal body image scale. *Psichiatria dell'infanzia e dell'adolescenza* 1999; **66**: 417–428.
 - 40 Derogatis LR. *SCL-90-R; Administration, Scoring and Procedure Manual-II*. Clinical Psychometric Research: Towson, MD, 1992.
 - 41 Ravaldi C, Vannacci A, Zucchi T, Mannucci E, Cabras PL, Boldrini M et al. Eating disorders and body image disturbances among ballet dancers, gymnasium users and body builders. *Psychopathology* 2003; **36**: 247–254.
 - 42 Derogatis LR, Rickels K, Rock AF. The SCL-90 and the MMPI: a step in the validation of a new self-report scale. *Brit J Psychiatr* 1976; **128**: 280–289.
 - 43 Fallon P, Ackard D. Sexual abuse and body image. In: Cash TF, Pruzinsky T (eds). *Body Image: A Handbook of Theory, Research, & Clinical Practice*. Guildford Press: New York, 2002 pp 117–124.
 - 44 Vogel M, Spitzer C, Kuwert P, Möller B, Freyberger HJ, Grabe HJ. Association of childhood neglect with adult dissociation in schizophrenic inpatients. *Psychopathology* 2009; **42**: 124–130.
 - 45 Marysko M, Reck C, Mattheis V, Finke P, Resch F, Moehler E. History of childhood abuse is accompanied by increased dissociation in young mothers five months postnatally. *Psychopathology* 2010; **43**: 104–109.
 - 46 Sar V, Taycan O, Bolat N, Ozmen M, Duran A, Oztürk E et al. Childhood trauma and dissociation in schizophrenia. *Psychopathology* 2010; **43**: 33–40.
 - 47 Marshall RD, Schneier FR, Lin SH, Simpson HB, Vermes D, Liebowitz M. Childhood trauma and dissociative symptoms in panic disorder. *Am J Psychiatry* 2000; **157**: 451–453.
 - 48 Simeon D, Guralnik O, Schmeidler J, Sirof B, Knutelska M. The role of childhood interpersonal trauma in depersonalization disorder. *Am J Psychiatry* 2001; **158**: 1027–1033.
 - 49 Sack M, Boroske-Leiner K, Lahmann C. Association of nonsexual and sexual traumatizations with body image and psychosomatic symptoms in psychosomatic outpatients. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry* 2010; **32**: 315–320.
 - 50 Macfie J, Cicchetti D, Toth SL. Dissociation in maltreated versus nonmaltreated preschool-aged children. *Child Abuse Negl* 2001; **25**: 1253–1267.
 - 51 Ogawa JR, Sroufe LA, Weinfield NS, Carlson EA, Egeland B. Development and the fragmented self: longitudinal study of dissociative symptomatology in a nonclinical sample. *Dev Psychopathol* 1997; **9**: 855–879.
 - 52 van Ijzendoorn MH, Schuengel C. The measurement of dissociation in normal and clinical populations: meta-analytic validation of the Dissociative Experiences Scale (DES). *Clin Psychol Rev* 1996; **16**: 365–382.
 - 53 McAdams DP, Anyidoho NA, Brown C, Huang YT, Kaplan B, Machado MA. Traits and stories: links between dispositional and narrative features of personality. *J Pers* 2004; **72**: 761–784.
 - 54 Di Ceglie D. Reflections on the nature of the 'atypical gender identity organization' In: Di Ceglie D, Freedman D (eds),

- A Stranger in My Own Body: Atypical Gender Identity Development and Mental Health.* Karnac: London, 1998 pp 9–25.
- 55 Corliss HL, Cochran SD, Mays VM. Reports of parental maltreatment during childhood in a United States population-based survey of homosexual, bisexual, and heterosexual adults. *Child Abuse Negl* 2002; **26**: 1165–1178.
- 56 de Vries AL, Kreukels BP, Steensma TD, Doreleijers TA, Cohen-Kettenis PT. Comparing adult and adolescent transsexuals: an MMPI-2 and MMPI-A study. *Psychiatry Res* 2011; **186**: 414.
- 57 Green JG, McLaughlin KA, Berglund PA, Gruber MJ, Sampson NA, Zaslavsky AM et al. Childhood adversities and adult psychiatric disorders in the national comorbidity survey replication I: associations with first onset of DSM-IV disorders. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2010; **67**: 113–123.
- 58 Enns MW, Cox BJ, Clara I. Parental bonding and adult psychopathology: results from the US National Comorbidity Survey. *Psychol Med* 2002; **32**: 997–1008.
- 59 Appleyard K, Yang C, Runyan DK. Delineating the maladaptive pathways of child maltreatment: a mediated moderation analysis of the roles of self-perception and social support. *Dev Psychopathol* 2010; **22**: 337–352.
- 60 Roustit C, Renahy E, Guernec G, Lesieur S, Parizot I, Chauvin P. Exposure to interparental violence and psychosocial maladjustment in the adult life course: advocacy for early prevention. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2009; **63**: 563.
- 61 Zucker KJ, Bradley SJ. *Gender Identity Disorder and Psychosexual Problems in Children and Adolescents.* Guilford Press: New York, 1995.
- 62 Cohen-Kettenis PT, Gooren LJ. Transsexualism: a review of etiology, diagnosis and treatment. *J Psychosom Res* 1999; **46**: 315–333.
- 63 Parks SE, Kim KH, Day NL, Garza MA, Larkby CA. Lifetime self-reported victimization among low-income, urban women: the relationship between childhood maltreatment and adult violent victimization. *J Interpers Violence* 2010; **26**: 1111.
- 64 Schilling EA, Aseltine Jr RH, Gore S. Adverse childhood experiences and mental health in young adults: a longitudinal survey. *BMC Public Health* 2007; **7**: 7:30.
- 65 McLaughlin KA, Green JG, Gruber MJ, Sampson NA, Zaslavsky AM, Kessler RC. Childhood adversities and adult psychopathology in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication (NCS-R) III: associations with functional impairment related to DSM-IV disorders. *Psychol Med* 2010; **40**: 847–859.
- 66 Shields A, Ryan RM, Cicchetti D. Narrative representations of caregivers and emotion dysregulation as predictors of maltreated children's rejection by peers. *Dev Psychol* 2001; **37**: 321–337.
- 67 Colman RA, Widom CS. Childhood abuse and neglect and adult intimate relationships: a prospective study. *Child Abuse Negl* 2004; **28**: 1133–1151.
- 68 Wallien MS, Veenstra R, Kreukels BP, Cohen-Kettenis PT. Peer group status of gender dysphoric children: a sociometric study. *Arch Sex Behav* 2010; **39**: 553–560.
- 69 Faravelli C, Amedei SG, Rotella F, Faravelli L, Palla A, Consoli G et al. Childhood traumata, dexamethasone suppression test and psychiatric symptoms: a trans-diagnostic approach. *Psychol Med* 2010; **5**: 1–12.
- 70 Manly JT. Advances in research definitions of child maltreatment. *Child Abuse Negl* 2005; **29**: 425–439.
- 71 Cohen-Kettenis PT, Pfafflin F. The DSM diagnostic criteria for gender identity disorder in adolescents and adults. *Arch Sex Behav* 2010; **39**: 499–513.
- 72 Dube SR, Williamson DF, Thompson T, Felitti VJ, Anda RF. Assessing the reliability of retrospective reports of adverse childhood experiences among adult HMO members attending a primary care clinic. *Child Abuse Negl* 2004; **28**: 729–737.
- 73 Maughan B, Rutter M. Retrospective reporting of childhood adversity: issues in assessing long-term recall. *J Pers Disord* 1997; **11**: 19–33.
- 74 Shaffer A, Huston L, Egeland B. Identification of child maltreatment using prospective and self-report methodologies: a comparison of maltreatment incidence and relation to later psychopathology. *Child Abuse Negl* 2008; **32**: 682–692.
- 75 Singh D, Deogracias JJ, Johnson LL, Bradley SJ, Kibblewhite SJ, Owen-Anderson A et al. The gender identity/gender dysphoria questionnaire for adolescents and adults: further validity evidence. *J Sex Res* 2010; **47**: 49–58.

Appendix

Childhood maltreatment, as derived from a clinical interview. The way of raising the questions is reported along with its relative scoring (in italics).

(1) Specific maltreatment

Emotional abuse:

'During your childhood, were you ever shouted at/insulted/ criticized/threatened/ignored/humiliated?'

Rating: 0 = no, 1 = yes.

Emotional neglect:

'During your childhood, did you have detached and uninvolved parents?'

Rating: 0 = no, 1 = yes.

Physical abuse:

'During your childhood, were you ever beaten/kicked/burnt/suffocated/cut/shot/locked up?'

Rating: 0 = no, 1 = yes.

Physical neglect:

'During your childhood, were you ever deprived of food/clothing/shelter/medical care?'

Rating: 0 = no, 1 = yes.

Sexual abuse:

'During your childhood, were you ever sexually molested by an adult, with or without physical contact?'

Rating: 0 = no, 1 = yes.

(2) Frequency

'Was this experience perpetuated several times?'

Rating: 0 = no, 1 = yes.

(3) Perpetrator (only for sexual abuse)

'Was this experience perpetrated by an unknown subject?'

Rating: 0 = no, 1 = yes.

'Was this experience perpetrated by a family friend?'

Rating: 0 = no, 1 = yes.

'Was this experience perpetrated by a family member?'

Rating: 0 = no, 1 = yes.

'Was this experience perpetrated by one or both parents?'

Rating: 0 = no, 1 = yes.